

291 Fairfield Avenue REPORT NUMBER: 137256-1 Fairfield, NJ 07004 DATE: April 1, 2012 Tel: 973-575-5252 PAGE 1 OF 4 Fax: 973-575-8271 CLIENT: Rosco Laboratories Inc. 52 Harbor View Avenue Stamford, Connecticut 06902 SUBJECT: Critical Radiant Flux of Floor Covering Systems AUTHORIZATION: Client's letter of authorization Feb. 22, 2000 by Michael Sean Graves. SAMPLE ID: One (1) sample of floor covering was submitted on February 23, 2000 and identified by the Client as: ADAGIO 2000

TEST PROCEDURE: The submitted sample was tested for Critical Radiant Flux in accordance with the procedures outlined in ASTM E648-97 Standard Test Method for Critical Radiant Flux of Floor Covering Systems using a Radiant Heat Energy Source. This test procedure is similar to NFPA 253, FTM No. 372, and NBSIR 75-950.

TEST DATES: March 3, 2000.

PREPARED BY:

desta

Nikolay Kitov, Technician **Fire Technology** 

SIGNED FOR THE COMPANY BY:

In len House

John Van Houten Supervisor

Iv

Member of the SGS Group

ANALYTICAL SERVICES • PERFORMANCE TESTING • STANDARDS EVALUATION • CERTIFICATION SERVICES SGS U.S. TESTING COMPANY INC REPORTS ARE FOR THE EXCLUSIVE USE OF THE CUENT TO WHOM THEY ARE ADDRESSED. ANYONE RELYING ON SUCH REPORTS SHOULD UNDER-STAND ALL OF THE DETAILS OF THE ENGAGEMENT REPORTS REPLECT RESULTS ONLY OF THE STANDARDS OR PROCEDURES IDENTIFIED TO THE TESTS CONDUCTED AND ARE LIMITED TO THE SAMPLES TESTED. TEST RESULTS MAY NOT BE INDICATIVE OF THE QUALITES OF THE LOT FROM WHICH THE SAMPLE WAS TAKEN SOS U.S. TESTING COMPANY INC. HAS NOT CONDUCTED ANY QUALITY CONTROL PROGRAM FOR THE CUENT. NEITHER THE NAME, SALLS, MARKS NOR INSIGNIA OF SOS U.S. TESTING COMPANY INC. HAS NOT TISING OR PROMOTIONAL MATERIALS WITHOUT THE PRIOR WRITTEN APPROVAL OF SIGS U.S. TESTING COMPANY INC. THES REPORT SHALL NOT BE REPRODUCED EXCEPT IN FULL WITH-OUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF THE SGS U.S. TESTING COMPANY INC. SAMPLES NOT DESTROYED IN TESTING ARE DISPOSED OF AFTER 30 DAYS.

REPORT OF



REPORT NUMBER: 137256-1 IDATE: April 1, 2012 PAGE 2 OF 4

CLIENT: Rosco Laboratories Inc.

### PREPARATION AND CONDITIONING:

Client supplied adhesive mortar (#500 Latex) was applied to three (3) 10-inch by 42-inch sections of IC board. The submitted sample was placed over the adhesive, pressed using a roller and allowed to cure for 48 hours prior to testing.

The specimens were conditioned at 70° ± 5° Fahrenheit and 50% Relative Humidity.

## TEST PROCEDURE:

The test chamber was thoroughly pre-heated and allowed to stabilize with the radiant panel at a black body temperature of  $500 \pm 5^{\circ}$  Celsius. The pilot burner was ignited and the specimen inserted into the chamber. After a five minute pre-heat, the pilot burner flame was placed in contact with the specimen for five minutes, then removed. The test was continued until all flaming ceased. The distance burned was measured and converted to Critical Radiant Heat Flux at flame out.

The operation of the test chamber was checked by performing a flux profile measurement at the 50 cm point on the day of the test.



REPORT NUMBER: 137256-1 |DATE: April 1, 2012 PAGE 3 OF 4

CLIENT: Rosco Laboratories Inc.

### TEST RESULTS:

The test results, determined in accordance with the prescribed method of Critical Radiant Flux, are as follows:

Test Specimen	*Critical Radiant Heat Flux Watts/cm <sup>2</sup>
1	1.02
2	0.94
3	1.00
Average	0.98

Standard Deviation: 0.04

\*See graph appended at the end of this report.

#### OBSERVATIONS:

Ignition was noted along with charring and bubbling of the specimens upon pilot contact. The specimens burned a maximum distance of 12.0 cm, 17.0 cm, and 14.0 cm, respectively.

# RATING:

The National Fire Protection Association Life Safety Code 101 Section 6-5.4, "Interior Floor Finish Classification" has a means of classifying materials with respect to Critical Radiant Flux when tested in accordance with NFPA 253, "Standard Method of Test for Critical Radiant Flux of Floor Covering Systems Using a Radiant Heat Energy Source" (ASTM E648-97).

The classifications are as follows:

Class I Interior Floor Finish: Critical Radiant Flux, minimum of 0.45 W/CM<sup>2</sup>.

Class II Interior Floor Finish: Critical Radiant Flux, minimum of 0.22 W/CM<sup>2</sup>.

Since the sample had an average Critical Radiant Flux of 0.98 W/CM<sup>2</sup> it would fall into the Class I Interior Floor Finish category.

End of Report

